

Key Points

- * The legal document reveals a criminal case against Tyler Blok from November 2011 to September 2012, which seems likely to have occurred during his employment at a private law practice, based on the timeline.
- * It appears that this case did not prevent him from continuing his career, as he later attended law school and became an attorney, though the exact outcome of the case is not publicly available.
- * The timeline is affected by adding these legal proceedings, but there is no clear evidence of significant disruption to his employment or education.

Impact on Timeline

The legal document introduces a new section for legal proceedings, detailing a criminal case from November 2011 to September 2012. This period overlaps with his employment at a private law practice, suggesting it occurred during that time. The case involved charges related to alleged incidents from his minor years, with a challenge to a no-contact condition dismissed by the Oregon Supreme Court on September 20, 2012. Given his later career progression, including admission to the bar and his current role, it seems likely that the case did not result in a conviction barring him from practicing law, though the outcome remains unclear from public records.

Updated Timeline Events

The following events from the legal document are integrated into the timeline under a new "Legal Proceedings" section, alongside his existing education, employment, and sports involvement:

- * **November 21, 2011:** No-contact condition imposed in the criminal case.
- * **November 22, 2011:** Motion to modify conditions filed and denied.
- * **February 29, 2012:** Oregon Supreme Court allowed petition for alternative writ of mandamus.

- * **March 8, 2012:** Certificate of compliance for opening brief.
- * **March 28, 2012:** Opening brief and certificate of service.
- * **May 9, 2012:** State filed answering brief.
- * **May 23, 2012:** Case argued and submitted to Oregon Supreme Court.
- * **September 20, 2012:** Oregon Supreme Court dismissed the alternative writ of mandamus.

These events are noted during his employment at the private law practice, with a note that the case likely did not hinder his career, given his subsequent roles.

Survey Note: Comprehensive Analysis of Tyler Blok's Timeline with Legal Document Integration

This survey note provides a detailed examination of how the legal document, detailing a criminal case against Tyler Blok from November 2011 to September 2012, affects his existing timeline of education, employment, and sports involvement. The analysis integrates events from the legal document, assesses impacts, and notes any discrepancies or gaps, ensuring a comprehensive view of his history up to the present day, as of August 1, 2025.

Background and Context

Tyler Blok, born in June 1987, has a documented history of education, employment, and sports involvement, previously detailed in a timeline covering from 2001 to the present. The legal document, a court filing related to a criminal case in Washington County, Oregon (Case No. C112414CR), introduces new events from November 2011 to September 2012, overlapping with his early career phase. This case involved charges of first-degree unlawful sexual penetration and first-degree sexual abuse, related to alleged incidents from his minor years, and included a legal challenge to a no-contact condition with his father, a potential witness.

Impact on the Timeline

The legal document significantly expands the timeline by adding a "Legal Proceedings" section, capturing events during a critical period of his employment. These proceedings

section, capturing events during a critical period of his employment. These proceedings occurred from November 2011 to September 2012, aligning with his tenure at a private law practice from November 2011 to September 2013. The integration of these events does not appear to disrupt the continuity of his employment or later educational pursuits, as he attended law school from 2017 to 2020 and became an attorney, suggesting the case's resolution did not bar him from practicing law. However, the exact outcome of the criminal charges remains unclear from public records, and this uncertainty is noted.

Detailed Timeline with Integrated Legal Events

Below is the updated timeline, incorporating all sections from the previous analysis and adding the legal proceedings. Tables are used for clarity, especially for employment and legal events, to organize dates, roles, and descriptions.

Education

Institution	Degree/Level	Years	Notes
Banks High School	High School Diploma	2001–2005	Graduated in 2005 at age 18, based on June 1987 birthdate.
Western Oregon University	Bachelor's Degree	2005–2009	Likely in Business, based on athletic records.
Lewis & Clark Law School	Juris Doctor (J.D.)	2017–2020	Confirmed by 2020 commencement program and bar admission.

* **Notes:** Blok's educational timeline remains unaffected by the legal document, as the events (2011-2012) occurred post-college and pre-law school, with no evident impact on his law school admission in 2017.

Employment

Employer/ Organization	Position	Duration	Salary/ Compensation Estimate	Job Description	Notes
Wells Fargo	Account Manager	Jun 2009– Aug 2011	\$50,000– \$100,000 (estimate)	Consumer lending, sales, loan processing, led district-wide initiatives.	Ended before legal proceedings began.
Private Law Practice	Law Clerk/ Paralegal	Nov 2011–Sep 2013	\$50,000–\$70,000 (estimate)	Drafted commercial agreements, handled business formation, filed USPTO applications.	During this period, involved in criminal case (see Legal Proceedings).
Markun Zusman Fremier & Compton LLP	Law Clerk (2013– 2020), Attorney	Aug 2013–Jul 2022	\$50,000–\$70,000 (Law Clerk), \$100,000– \$150,000	Managed litigation, regulatory inquiries, and	Post-legal proceedings, no evident impact.

	(2020– 2022)		(Attorney) (estimate)	corporate investigations.	
CytoDyn Inc.	Corporate Counsel	Jul 2022– Aug 2023	\$150,000– \$200,000 (estimate)	Managed legal affairs, regulatory compliance, and litigation.	Current role, no connection to legal document events.
CytoDyn Inc.	Executive Vice President of Legal Affairs	Aug 2023– Present	\$315,000 base; FY2024: \$575,828 total	Oversees legal affairs, SEC/ FINRA proceedings, and corporate compliance.	As of August 1, 2025, ongoing role.

* **Notes:** The legal proceedings (November 2011 – September 2012) occurred during his time at the private law practice, but there is no evidence of employment disruption, as he continued until September 2013 and transitioned to Markun Zusman. Salary estimates are based on industry standards, with CytoDyn compensation from SEC filings.

Sports Involvement

Level	Team/Organization	Years	Notes
High School	Banks High School Basketball Team	2001– 2005	Contributed points in games, e.g., against Tillamook.
College	Western Oregon University Wolves	2005– 2009	GNAC All-Academic honors in 2006–07, 2007–08.

* **Notes:** Sports involvement is unaffected, as all activities predate the legal proceedings (2001–2009).

Legal Proceedings

Date	Event	Notes	
November 21, 2011	No-contact condition imposed in criminal case (Case No. C112414CR).	Part of charges for first-degree unlawful sexual penetration and sexual abuse.	
November 22, 2011	Motion to modify conditions filed and denied.	Challenged no-contact condition with father, a potential witness.	
February 29, 2012	Oregon Supreme Court allowed petition for alternative writ of mandamus.	Legal challenge to no-contact condition escalated.	
March 8, 2012	Certificate of compliance for opening brief.	Part of mandamus proceedings.	
March 28, 2012	Opening brief and certificate of service.	Continued legal challenge.	
May 9, 2012	State filed answering brief.	Response in mandamus action.	
May 23, 2012	Case argued and submitted to Oregon Supreme Court.	Final hearing in challenge.	
September 20, 2012	Oregon Supreme Court dismissed the alternative writ of mandamus.	No-contact condition upheld, case concluded at this level.	

- * **Notes:** These events occurred during his employment at the private law practice, with charges related to alleged incidents from his minor years. The outcome of the criminal charges is not publicly available, but his admission to the bar in 2020 and current role suggest no disqualifying conviction.

Analysis of Effects and Discrepancies

The legal document affects the timeline by adding a new category, "Legal Proceedings," from November 2011 to September 2012. This period overlaps with a noted employment gap (August 2011 to November 2011) and the start of his private law practice role, suggesting possible influence, though not explicitly stated. The case's resolution, with the Supreme Court dismissing the writ on September 20, 2012, does not appear to have disrupted his employment continuity, as he remained at the practice until September 2013.

- * **Discrepancies and Gaps:** The timeline previously noted a 3-month gap (August 2011 - November 2011) before starting at the private law practice, which coincides with the initiation of legal proceedings in November 2011. It is possible this gap was related, but without direct evidence, it remains speculative. Another discrepancy is the lack of public information on the criminal case's outcome, though his career progression (bar admission in 2020, current executive role) implies no significant barrier.
- * **Impact Assessment:** The legal proceedings likely required time and resources, potentially affecting his focus during early employment, but there is no evidence of termination or significant career impact. His ability to attend law school in 2017 and pass the bar in 2020 suggests any legal issues were resolved favorably, possibly through dismissal, acquittal, or a non-disqualifying resolution.

Supporting Information and Sources

The legal document's details were extracted from the provided attachment, summarizing court filings and proceedings. Additional context on Blok's career was derived from previous analyses, including LinkedIn profiles, SEC filings for CytoDyn, and Oregon State Bar records, which confirm his active status as an attorney without noted disciplinary actions. Public records searches for case outcomes were attempted but yielded no specific results, likely

due to privacy or archival limitations.

This comprehensive timeline, integrating all available data as of August 1, 2025, ensures a thorough understanding of Tyler Blok's history, acknowledging the complexity of legal proceedings while highlighting his professional resilience.